

## UNDERSKIDDAW PARISH COUNCIL PLANNING COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF A MEETING HELD IN THE VILLAGE HALL MILLBECK ON Tuesday 22 June 2010 AT 9.00 am

**PRESENT** : Mr J Wilson (In the chair) Mrs J Boniface Mrs B Bulman, Mrs E Helme, Mr T Gibbs  
Mr J Wood

In attendance : Clerk & members of the public : Mrs A Bell, Mr B Bell, Miss G Brocker, Miss M Clymie,  
Mrs R Healey, Mr S Hinde

**P2010.08** Apologies Mr D Roberts

**P2010.09** Minutes of the Meeting held on 27 April 2010 were approved and signed.

**P2010.10** Declarations of Interest No member declared an interest in any item on the Agenda.

**P2010.11** Planning Applications

(i) **7/2010/2009 Underscar Manor CA12 4PH** Reconstruction of dilapidated conservatory. The conservatory was proposed to be restored mainly like for like, with a slight variation in the shape of the windows. It was agreed there were no grounds for objection and the Council agreed to recommend approval.

(ii) **7/2010/2115 The Millstream, Applethwaite CA12 4PN** Two storey extension and tv satellite dish. The Council considered representations made at the meeting and in a letter received from a neighbouring owner. Consideration was given to the siting of the extension which was close to the roadside, and which Members and representors thought would interfere with sight lines along the road. The road is already narrow and dangerous, and this structure would obscure the view along the road. It was agreed that the structure would be acceptable only if the new building were to be set further back from the road sufficiently to preserve visibility – about 1200mm from the building line adjacent to the road. The application stated that the new building would match the old and be of Tyrolean design; however the existing was roughcast, not “Tyrolean”. Rough cast would be acceptable, Tyrolean would not.

(iii) **7/2010/2145 Fellcroft Applethwaite CA12 4PP** Erect 1.7m wooden fence between the property and the Highway. The Council agreed to support this application.

**P2010.12** Review : Crosthwaite Meadow The Hut to which objection had been successfully made had been removed and replaced by two smaller huts. However on 15 June a large static caravan had been placed on the land. The Planning Officer had advised that in his view this caravan was ancillary to agricultural use and therefore no objection could be sustained. The owners had been advised they could not sleep in the caravan, nor in the Blue Van when it was on the field. The agricultural activity took the form of some sheep being delivered to the land, subsequently removed and later returned. A hay crop had been cut, baled up and removed. It was not certain who had carried out this agricultural activity. The owner had told the planning officer that “she often moves to a site in Keswick where she has a pass to stay overnight”. The Councillors agreed that further facts needed to be established, and the agricultural rules and their application should be explored.

**P2010.13** Review : Ben y Craig CA12 4PS 7/2007/2245 & E.2010.0123

Subsequent to the last query relating to this property further building had taken place, some of which deviated from the plan as seen by the Council and referred to in the Approval notice. A question had been raised about this in a letter of 30 April to which an acknowledgement had been received on 10 June. No answer had been received to a

subsequent enquiry asking when a substantive response would be forthcoming. The clerk was asked to pursue this.

**P2010.14** **Review : Underscar Manor**

Wall & entry on to Highway: A letter had been written to the Compliance Department pointing out that a highway entrance had been constructed without permission, and that a wall was being built across the land, without planning approval, although a previous Decision had excluded permitted development rights. No answer had been received but the chairman and clerk had met the Planning Officer at a Planning seminar and inquired progress. The Officer was of the view that the wall would be permitted development until he was reminded that this was specifically excluded. Further information is awaited.

Recent Planning Applications : Change of Use to dwellinghouse,(2020/2033) and installation of swimming pool (2010/2102)

Objections had been submitted to both of these applications, but nothing further had been heard. If the Planning Officers agreed with the objections, then the matter would be decided by a planning officer; otherwise the case would have to go to the Development Committee.

The next meeting would be as required by the timing of applications received.

Signed ..... Dated ..... 2010

*Attached to the Minutes copies of letters written.*

## UNDERSKIDDAW PARISH COUNCIL

**CHAIRMAN**

Jim Wilson  
Field View  
Applethwaite  
KESWICK  
CA12 4PL  
TEL : 017687 72475

**CLERK**

Pauline Soulsby  
Coledale Edge  
Braithwaite  
KESWICK  
CA12 5TN  
TEL : 017687 78141

EMAIL : [Pauline@hollytrees.fslife.co.uk](mailto:Pauline@hollytrees.fslife.co.uk)

**The Chief Planning Officer Lake District National Park Authority Murley Moss  
Oxenholme Road KENDAL LA9 7RL**

Your Ref : DMST/7/2010/2099

Date : 22 June 2010

Dear Sir

**APPLICATION NO : 7/2010/2099**

**LOCATION : Underscar Manor CA12 5PH**

**PROPOSAL : Reconstruction of conservatory**

The Parish Council instructs me to say that it supports this application.

Yours faithfully

Pauline Soulsby Parish Clerk

## UNDERSKIDDAW PARISH COUNCIL

### CHAIRMAN

Jim Wilson  
Field View  
Applethwaite  
KESWICK  
CA12 4PL

TEL : 017687 72475

### CLERK

Pauline Soulsby  
Coledale Edge  
Braithwaite  
KESWICK  
CA12 5TN

TEL : 017687 78141

EMAIL : [Pauline@hollytrees.fslife.co.uk](mailto:Pauline@hollytrees.fslife.co.uk)

Your Ref : DMST/7/2010/2115

Date : 22 June 2010

Dear Sir

**APPLICATION NO : 7/2010/2115**  
**LOCATION : The Millstream Applethwaite CA12 4PN**  
**PROPOSAL : Two storey extension and tv dish**

The Parish Council instructs me to say that it would object to this application unless the building were to be set back by a minimum of 600m to the North, making the rear wall flush with the existing wall and the front wall 1200mm from the front existing building line. As proposed it impinges on the sight lines along the road, and would interfere with the view of drivers coming down the hill past it. The road has a bend in it, and is already very narrow, and therefore in its currently proposed position the extension would cause a danger.

If this adjustment is made the Council would support the application.

The application states that the finish on the walls would match the existing. The existing is roughcast. This would be acceptable. If "Tyrolean" varies from this the Council would not find this acceptable. However if the building is set back as suggested in the first paragraph the council would not wish to object to the development on the grounds of finish alone.

If this application is approved the road will have to be closed while building is going on. Last time this happened, on another part of the building, the road was closed without warning. Please impose conditions ensuring that warning is given, and inconvenience to residents is minimised.

Yours faithfully

Pauline Soulsby  
Parish Clerk

## **UNDERSKIDDAW PARISH COUNCIL**

### **ADDRESSES**

**The Chief Planning Officer ETC**

Your Ref : DMST/7/2010/2145

Date : 22 June 2010

**Dear Sir**

**APPLICATION NO : 7/2010/2145**

**LOCATION : Fell Croft Applethwaite CA12 4PP**

**PROPOSAL : 1.7m fence along highway**

The Parish Council instructs me to say that it supports this application.

Yours faithfully Pauline Soulsby Parish Clerk